1	Jacob K. Danziger (SBN 278219)	
2	ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP	
3	44 Montgomery Street, 38th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 United States	
3	Telephone: (734) 222-1516	
4	Facsimile: (415) 757-5501 jacob.danziger@afslaw.com	
5		
6	Beth A. Wilkinson (pro hac vice)	
	Rakesh N. Kilaru (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Kieran Gostin (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
7	Calanthe Arat (SBN 349086)	
8	Tamarra Matthews Johnson (pro hac vice)	
9	WILKINSON STEKLOFF LLP 2001 M Street NW, 10th Floor	
10	Washington, DC 20036	
	Telephone: (202) 847-4000	
11	Facsimile: (202) 847-4005 bwilkinson@wilkinsonstekloff.com	
12	rkilaru@wilkinsonstekloff.com	
13	kgostin@wilkinsonstekloff.com	
	carat@wilkinsonstekloff.com tmatthewsjohnson@wilkinsonstekloff.com	
14	tinatine wajemisenie winkinsenstekieri.eem	
15	Attorneys for Defendant	OCIATION
16	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSO	CIATION
17	[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]	
18	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
19	UAKLAN	D DIVISION
20		
21	IN RE COLLEGE ATHLETE NIL	Case No. 4:20-cv-03919-CW
	LITIGATION	
22		JOINT MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF ADDITIONAL SETTLEMENT CLASS
23		COMMUNICATIONS
24		Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
25		
26		
27		
28		

CASE NO. 4:20-CV-03919-CW

Case 4:20-cv-03919-CW Document 581 Filed 12/13/24 Page 1 of 10

JOINT MOT. FOR APPROVAL OF ADD'L SETTLEMENT CLASS COMMUNICATIONS

Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs and Defendants National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA), Atlantic Coast Conference (ACC), The Big Ten Conference, Inc. (Big Ten), The Big 12 Conference, Inc. (Big 12), Pac-12 Conference (Pac-12), and Southeastern Conference (SEC) hereby move for an order approving publication of the attached communication to potential Class Members. *See* Question and Answer: Impact of the Proposed Settlement on Current Division I Student-Athletes, NCAA (Dec. 13, 2024) (attached hereto as **Exhibit A**).

Since the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement, student-athletes have frequently approached the NCAA, the Conferences, and the NCAA's member institutions with clarifying questions about the settlement. Because the NCAA is a defendant in this lawsuit, it has been unable to answer such questions directly. But the questions are of the type that would typically be answered by question-and-answer (Q & A) documents posted to the NCAA's website. To help answer these questions in an easily-accessible way, the NCAA has prepared a Q & A document addressing commonly-asked questions about the settlement. Both Class Counsel and Defendants agree that the Q & A provides truthful and non-misleading information to potential Class Members and that the NCAA is uniquely situated to ensure that such information is even more widely disseminated to the benefit of potential Class Members. The parties accordingly jointly request that the Court approve publication of the Q & A document.

BACKGROUND

On October 7, 2024, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement and directed notice to potential Class Members. *See* Dkt. No. 544. Since then, there has naturally been much public discussion about the pending Settlement and how it would operate if approved, which has led to frequent questions by student-athletes. Because the NCAA is a defendant in this case, it has not followed its usual practice of attempting to answer such questions broadly and publicly with Q & A documents developed in conjunction with NCAA committees (including student-athletes) and the Conference Defendants. But both student-athletes and the NCAA's member institutions have requested that such guidance be made more broadly available.

9

13 14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2728

the pending Settlement. See Dkt. No. 576 at 2 ("[T]he Court is concerned that certain solicitations of class members regarding third-party claims filing services have been misleading"). The Court's recent Order is a meaningful and important step to correct these misimpressions.

Class Counsel and Defendants agree that under the circumstances, it would be helpful for potential Class Members to receive even more truthful and accurate information about the Settlement. Accordingly, the NCAA prepared a Q & A document addressing the most commonly-

At the same time, and as the Court's recent protective order granting Plaintiffs' Motion for

a Prospective Order Concerning Misleading Third-Party Claims Filing Services details, some third

parties have sent misleading communications and solicitations to potential Class Members about

- "Will all student-athletes receive name, image and likeness (NIL) payments from their school?"
- "If a school provides additional benefits or NIL payments, will all student-athletes at a particular school or on a particular team receive the same amount of NIL payments or benefits from the school?
- "Is a student-athlete's athletics scholarship guaranteed?"
- "Is a student-athlete's roster spot guaranteed?"

asked questions from student-athletes. Those questions are:

- "Do student-athletes need to disclose all NIL agreements?"
- "May student-athletes sign an NIL agreement with anyone?"
- "How do student-athletes know if an individual or company is an 'associated individual or entity'?"
- "Who determines whether the money provided by an associated entity or individual is at a fair market rate?"
- "What can schools do to help student-athletes find NIL opportunities?"
- "Can student-athletes sign NIL agreements with schools AND outside third parties?"
- "Are there any other restrictions on schools that student-athletes should be aware of?"
- "Where can student-athletes go if they have questions about participation in the

settlement?"

• "Where can student-athletes go if they have questions about the Division I NIL environment?"

Ex. A at 1–3.

Class Counsel and Defendants have conferred about the Q & A document and jointly believe the contents provide truthful and accurate information about the Settlement. The parties further believe it will benefit potential Class Members for such information to be even more broadly disseminated than it already has been.

ARGUMENT

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 "grants federal courts 'broad authority' to manage class-action litigation." Fox v. Saginaw Cnty., 35 F.4th 1042, 1047 (6th Cir. 2022) (citation omitted). In any class action, each potential class member has a due process right to decide whether to participate in, object to, or opt out of a Settlement, and to make that decision based on objective, neutral information. See id. at 1049; In re McKesson HBOC, Inc. Sec. Litig., 126 F. Supp. 2d 1239, 1243 (N.D. Cal. 2000) (J. Whyte) ("Rule 23 contemplates that putative class members will make an informed decision about their decision to opt out of a class. The court has a duty to ensure that all putative class members have a genuine choice . . ."). Providing objective information to potential class members is thus "crucial" so that they can "take appropriate steps to make certain their individual interests are protected." Erhardt v. Prudential Grp., Inc., 629 F.2d 843, 846 (2d Cir. 1980). Courts are empowered to ensure class members fully understand a settlement and to protect potential class members from communications that "are factually or legally incomplete, lack objectivity and neutrality, or contain untruths [which] will surely result in confusion and adversely affect the administration of justice." Id.

The parties agree that publication of the Q & A document will advance the goals of Rule 23 by aiding potential Class Members in making informed decisions regarding the pending Settlement if approved. *See Georgine v. Amchem Prods., Inc.*, 160 F.R.D. 478, 502 (E.D. Pa. 1995) ("Rule 23 mandates that class members be exposed to information that will enable them to make

1 | a | 2 | ti | 3 | tu | 4 | tu | 5 | v | 6 | u |

7

9 10

1213

11

1415

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

an informed, intelligent decision whether to opt out or remain a member of the class"). Many of the questions in the document aim to clarify important and commonly-raised details about the terms of the pending Settlement. More truthful and accurate information will increase transparency and benefit potential Class Members, and the NCAA is uniquely positioned to ensure widespread access to such information. The interests of due process and justice contemplated under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 thus counsel in favor of permitting the NCAA to publish the Q & A document about the pending Settlement.

Publication of the Q & A document would also help correct against misimpressions that may have been created by others. Courts routinely order appropriate remedial measures to protect potential class members against misleading or confusing communications. See, e.g., Dkt. No. 576 (ordering publication of the Court's protective order addressing third-party claims filing services on the Settlement website). For example, in *In re McKesson HBOC, Inc. Securities Litigation*, another court in this District held that "it is necessary to provide curative notice to solicited class members, so that they are not confused" and ordered the notice there to be "particularly careful" in order "to avoid irreparable harm" to putative class members who received "misleading" materials from law firms soliciting absent class members to pursue a separate action. 126 F. Supp. 2d at 1245–46. Similarly, in Masonek v. Wells Fargo Bank, the court from a neighboring district found that a letter sent to potential class members contained "disconcerting statements about when and how absent class members must act to protect their rights" and ordered curative notice to be sent. No. SACV 09–1048, 2009 WL 10672345, at *2–4 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 2009); see also Alfred v. Pepperidge Farm, Inc., No. LA CV14-07086, 2020 WL 13587900, at *8 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 14, 2020) (ordering corrective notice). Here, providing truthful and accurate information about the Settlement will help further correct for the type of misleading third-party communications that were the subject of this Court's recent protective order.

CONCLUSION

The parties respectfully request that the Court issue an Order approving publication of the Q & A document (Exhibit A) and subsequent supplementation and updating of the publication.

1	Dated: December 13, 2024	Respectfully Submitted,
2		
3	WILKINSON STEKLOFF LLP	COOLEY LLP
4	By: /s/ Rakesh N. Kilaru	By: /s/ Whitty Somvichian
5	Beth A. Wilkinson (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Rakesh N. Kilaru (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Whitty Somvichian (SBN 194463) Kathleen R. Hartnett (SBN 314267)
6	Kieran Gostin (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Calanthe Arat (SBN 349086)	Ashley Kemper Corkery (SBN 301380) 3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor
	Tamarra Matthews Johnson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	San Francisco, California 94111-4004
7	Matthew R. Skanchy (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 2001 M Street NW, 10th Floor	Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222
8	Washington, DC 20036	wsomvichian@cooley.com
9	Telephone: (202) 847-4000	khartnett@cooley.com
10	Facsimile: (202) 847-4005	acorkery@cooley.com
10	bwilkinson@wilkinsonstekloff.com	Mada I and (CDN 107410)
11	rkilaru@wilkinsonstekloff.com kgostin@wilkinsonstekloff.com	Mark Lambert (SBN 197410) 3175 Hanover Street
	carat@wilkinsonstekloff.com	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
12	tmatthewsjohnson@wilkinsonstekloff.com	Telephone: (650) 843-5000
13	mskanchy@wilkinsonstekloff.com	Facsimile: (650) 849-7400
	miskuneny & winkinsonstekiori.com	mlambert@cooley.com
14	Jacob K. Danziger (SBN 278219)	
15	ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP	Dee Bansal (pro hac vice)
	44 Montgomery Street, 38th Floor	1299 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 700
16	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (734) 222-1516	Washington, DC 20004-2400 Telephone: (202) 842 7800
17	Facsimile: (415) 757-5501	Facsimile: (202) 842 7899
1 /	jacob.danziger@afslaw.com	dbansal@cooley.com
18	Jacob.danziger@aisiaw.com	doansan@cooley.com
19	Attorneys for Defendant NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC	Attorneys for Defendant PAC-12 CONFERENCE
20	ASSOCIATION	TAC-12 CONTERENCE
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-5-

1	MAYER BROWN LLP	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
2	By: /s/ Britt M. Miller	By: /s/ Natali Wyson
3	Britt M. Miller (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Daniel T. Fenske (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	David L. Anderson (SBN 149604) 555 California Street, Suite 2000
4	71 South Wacker Drive	San Francisco, CA 94104
_	Chicago, IL 60606	Telephone: (415) 772-1200
5	Telephone: (312) 782-0600 Facsimile: (312) 701-7711	Facsimile: (415) 772-7412 dlanderson@sidley.com
6	bmiller@mayerbrown.com	dianderson@sidicy.com
7	dfenske@mayerbrown.com	Angela C. Zambrano (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Natali Wyson (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
8	Christopher J. Kelly (SBN 276312)	Chelsea A. Priest (pro hac vice)
9	Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real	2021 McKinney Avenue, Suite 2000 Dallas, TX 75201
10	Palo Alto, CA 94306	Telephone: (214) 969-3529
	Telephone: (650) 331-2000	Facsimile: (214) 969-3558
11	Facsimile: (650) 331-2060 cjkelly@mayerbrown.com	angela.zambrano@sidley.com nwyson@sidley.com
12	egiceny windy er or o win.com	cpriest@sidley.com
13	Attorneys for Defendant	Attornava for Dafandant
14	THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE, INC.	Attorneys for Defendant THE BIG 12 CONFERENCE, INC.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-6-

1	ROBINSON, BRADSHAW & HINSON,	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
2	P.A. Dv. /c/ Dobort W. Euller	By: /s/ Christopher S. Yates Christopher S. Votes (SPN 161272)
3	By: /s/ Robert W. Fuller Robert W. Fuller, III (pro hac vice)	Christopher S. Yates (SBN 161273) Aaron T. Chiu (SBN 287788)
4	Lawrence C. Moore, III (pro hac vice) Amanda P. Nitto (pro hac vice)	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111
5	Travis S. Hinman (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Patrick H. Hill (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Telephone: (415) 391-0600 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095
6	101 N. Tryon St., Suite 1900 Charlotte, NC 28246	chris.yates@lw.com aaron.chiu@lw.com
7	Telephone: (704) 377-2536	<u> </u>
8	Facsimile: (704) 378-4000 rfuller@robinsonbradshaw.com	Anna M. Rathbun (SBN 273787) 555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
9	lmoore@robinsonbradshaw.com anitto@robinsonbradshaw.com	Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 637-1061
10	thinman@robinsonbradshaw.com phill@robinsonbradshaw.com	Facsimile: (202) 637-2201 anna.rathbun@lw.com
11		
12	Mark J. Seifert (SBN 217054) SEIFERT ZUROMSKI LLP	FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
13 14	One Market Street, 36th Floor San Francisco, California 941105	By: <u>/s/ D. Erik Albright</u> D. Erik Albright (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
15	Telephone: (415) 999-0901 Facsimile: (415) 901-1123	Jonathan P. Heyl (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Gregory G. Holland (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
16	mseifert@szllp.com	230 North Elm Street, Suite 1200 Greensboro, NC 27401
17	Attorneys for Defendant	Telephone: (336) 378-5368
18	SOUTHEASTERN CONFERENCE	Facsimile: (336) 378-5400 ealbright@foxrothschild.com
19		jheyl@foxrothschild.com gholland@foxrothschild.com
20		Attorneys for Defendant
21		THE ATLANTIC COAST CONFERENCE
22		CONTENEINED
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		7_

1	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
2	By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler</u>	By: <u>/s/ Steve W. Berman</u>
3	Jeffrey L. Kessler (pro hac vice)	Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice)
4	David G. Feher (<i>pro hac vice</i>) David L. Greenspan (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	Emilee N. Sisco (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Stephanie Verdoia (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
7	Adam I. Dale (pro hac vice)	1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
5	Sarah L. Viebrock (pro hac vice)	Seattle, WA 98101
6	200 Park Avenue	Telephone: (206) 623-7292
	New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-4698	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com
7	Facsimile: (212) 294-4700	emilees@hbsslaw.com
8	jkessler@winston.com	stephaniev@hbsslaw.com
	dfeher@winston.com	
9	dgreenspan@winston.com	Benjamin J. Siegel (SBN 256260)
10	aidale@winston.com sviebrock@winston.com	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
11	SVICOTOCK (G, WIIISTOII. COIII	Berkeley, CA 94710
11	Jeanifer E. Parsigian (SBN 289001)	Telephone: (510) 725-3000
12	101 California Street, 34 th Floor	Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
13	San Francisco, CA 94111-5840	bens@hbsslaw.com
	Telephone: (415) 591-1000 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400	
14	jparsigian@winston.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Classes
15		·
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		-8-
		IOINT MOT EOD ADDDOVAL OF ADD'I

JOINT MOT. FOR APPROVAL OF ADD'L SETTLEMENT CLASS COMMUNICATIONS

SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION

I, Rakesh N. Kilaru, am the CM/ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Motion for Approval of Additional Settlement Class Communications. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories.

Dated: December 13, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

WILKINSON STEKLOFF LLP

By: <u>/s/ Rakesh N. Kilaru</u>
Rakesh N. Kilaru
Attorney for Defendant

National Collegiate Athletic Association

JOINT MOT, FOR APPROVAL OF ADD'L

SETTLEMENT CLASS COMMUNICATIONS